



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,725	01/26/2004	Michael J. Drews	ARB-9014	2546
23410	7590	06/13/2008	EXAMINER	
Vista IP Law Group LLP			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E	
2040 MAIN STREET, 9TH FLOOR				
IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/13/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/765,725	DREWS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian E. Pellegrino. (3) _____.

(2) William English. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: heart valve base member with fabric and having three upward extending thin, flexible guides above the base.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,36 and 52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lane, Barone.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. English proposed further defining the claimed invention that the elongate guide members extend upward. However, the Examiner suggested to further define over the Lane reference that the guides extend above the base member. Mr. English presented comments that Barone did not have upward, elongate guides. It appeared that the proposed limitations would overcome the rejections of the claims discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian E Pellegrino/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.